PATENT DATE 95° Feb. 18, 1992 PATENTEE Roshantha A.S. Chandraratha

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

THESE: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK: Washington, D.C. 20231

n pris

*Law Offices Klein & Scekeres 4199 Campus Drive Suite 700 Irvine, California 92715

4 1994

MAILING DATE

NOTIFICATION OF APPROVAL IN-PART OF CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

THE	CHANGES BELOW CAN	INOT BE INCLUDED	IN THE CENTIFICATE	SINCE THE REC	UEST WAS FILE	OUNDER RULE 3	22.
ı. X.	CHANGES BELOW CAN Col. 17: line Col. 34: line	64; Col. 19, 28., are XXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXX printed in acco	TOBICE WITH THE	e records		1 .
•	(a) The change	referred to was initi	ialed and dated by an		いいたいかだ execution of the		ers.
919 Tet 2.	ny the eraminar and vo	tant a chance make i	the error result amendment was omit	ed from applica	ent's failure to c	omply with Rule	121(a),
3. The	Rule 121(b), where	in provision is made	the alleged error tor use of brackets,	instead of pare	ntheses, to cand	el subject matte	er and
_	tor the use or inte	nineations to indica	te new subject matter	27 February 1 M	thrate State 1	A	N. T
4		iority data from the	patent resulted from a	pplicant's fail	ure to fully comp	oly with 35 U.S.C	. 119,
	in that:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• 1 . • .	
o it vit	(a) The priority	, data was omitted fr	om the oath, or decla	ration.	and the second	- r	
	(b) The claim t	or priority was not in	ncluded in the applica	ation papers.	t-grf*.	e ke pa i	
and to	ing of the control		,			* 4	,
its m	(C) The certific	30 coby of the toleis.	u abbitcation mae un	meg.	Section 1		7.25. A
5.			patent in accordance	with PTO 76-13b	submitted by ap	plicant at time o	f payment
		fee, as follows:	, r,r		Section 1.	. *	,
347	-A. Loultué haze rééné					**	
31.1	- ^{B.} Los ^t tuć nazis užžnić				•		
264	-#- (6) liuć hazis ržžniš				•		
264.	-fi- i orituá nase tženě j				·		
191	⊕ ¦oviné oaze t≥żoč				·		
31:4:	g . Or, me vase rssog				·		
31:1	a tothus nase isons				·		
. —						li_d with _C	ans a quent
ъе 1	in column	, line_	, the error arose	because Rule	52(b) was not co aced in the file i	mplied with. Co	onsequent! he Office
6.	In column words on top of ce	, line ertain pages were ob ppeared to be the pro	literated where those	because Rule pages were pl	52(b) was not co aced in the file j	mplied with. Co acket, causing t	onsequenti he Office F
6. 🔲	In column words on top of ce to provide what ap	ertain pages were ob opeared to be the pro	literated where those per words.	pages were pla	aced in the file j	mplied with. Co acket, causing t	onsequent! he Office F
6. 🔲	In column words on top of co to provide what ap IE REQUEST HAS BEEN	ertain pages were ob opeared to be the pro CHANGED AS SHOW	literated where those oper words. YN BELOW TO COMPLY	pages were plant	aced in the file i	acket, causing t	he Office
6. 🔲	In column words on top of co to provide what ap IE REQUEST HAS BEEN	ertain pages were ob opeared to be the pro CHANGED AS SHOW rmally the practice o	literated where those per words.	pages were plant with the REC	cord in the file j	acket, causing t	he Office
6. 🔲	In column words on top of ce to provide what ap IE REQUEST HAS BEEN Since it is not no	ertain pages were ob opeared to be the pro CHANGED AS SHOW rmally the practice o	literated where those per words. VN BELOW TO COMPLY f the Office to reprint as suggested by	pages were plant of the pages o	cord in the file j	acket, causing t	he Office

(over)

Form PTOL-404 (rev. 10/80)